

## CW Fund Application Review Criteria

### 1. Introduction

4	The introduction is clear and detailed. The program curriculum, vocation, and target audience to be served is included and well developed. The application demonstrates the entity's capacity and capability to provide training and or certification.
3	The introduction is detailed. The program curriculum, vocation, and target audience to be served are included and somewhat developed. The application demonstrates the entity's capacity and capability to provide training and or certification.
2	The introduction is included. Parts of the program curriculum, vocation, and target audience to be served are included but not developed. The application somewhat demonstrates the entity's capacity and capability to provide training and or certification.
1	The introduction is included. Several parts of the program curriculum, vocation, and target audience to be served are included but not developed. The application does not demonstrate the entity's capacity and capability to provide training and or certification.

### 2. Problem Statement

4	The problem statement is well developed, and effectively explains the need and/or clearly identifies gaps in the CNMI workforce system. Applicant uses multiple, reliable data sources to portray the problem statement.
3	The problem statement is mostly developed, and explains the need and/or identifies gaps in the CNMI workforce system. Applicant uses multiple, reliable data sources to portray the problem statement.
2	The problem statement is somewhat developed, and identifies gaps in the CNMI workforce system. Applicant uses some data sources to portray the problem statement.
1	The problem statement is somewhat developed. Applicant uses few or leaves out data sources to portray the problem statement.

### 3. Purpose/Goal(s):

4	The Purpose of the funding request was clearly defined. The application included SMART goals (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time Bound) to address the problem identified. The entity clearly demonstrated how funding this program or project will impact the CNMI workforce.
3	The Purpose of the funding request was mostly defined. The application included SMART goals (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time Bound) to address the problem identified. The entity mostly described how funding this program or project will impact the CNMI workforce.
2	The Purpose of the funding request was somewhat defined. The application included goals to address the problem identified. The entity somewhat described how funding this program or project will impact the CNMI workforce.
1	The Purpose of the funding request was not really defined. The application somewhat included goals to address the problem identified. The entity failed to describe how funding this program or project will impact the CNMI workforce.

#### 4. Activities and Timeline:

4	The applicant clearly identified and listed specific steps and activities that will be taken during the implementation of the project timeline in order to accomplish the purpose and goals of this project. The activities clearly align with the stated goals in the application.
3	The applicant mostly identified and listed specific steps and activities that will be taken during the implementation of the project timeline in order to accomplish the purpose and goals of this project. The activities align with the stated goals in the application.
2	The applicant somewhat identified steps and/or activities that will be taken during the implementation of the project timeline in order to accomplish the purpose and goals of this project. The activities somewhat align with the stated goals in the application.
1	The applicant identified some steps and/or activities that will be taken during the implementation of the project timeline in order to accomplish the purpose and goals of this project. The activities alignment with the stated goals in the application are not clear.

#### 5. Tinian and Rota Access

4	The entity clearly provides an extensive plan to include access for participants in Tinian and Rota.
3	The entity provides some thought into a plan that includes some access for participants in Tinian and Rota.
2	The entity plans to include access for participants in Tinian and Rota.
1	The entity does not provide access for participants in Tinian and Rota.

#### 6. Evaluation

4	The entity presents a clearly developed evaluation plan to measure the success of their program. The applicant effectively describes how they will collect data to monitor progress towards the goals stated.
3	The entity provides a mostly developed evaluation plan to measure the success of their program. The applicant describes how they will collect data to monitor progress towards the goals stated.
2	The entity identifies an evaluation plan to measure the success of their program. The applicant describes how they will collect data to monitor progress towards the goals stated.
1	The entity identifies a skeletal evaluation plan to measure the success of their program.

#### 7. CWF Priority Alignment

4	The project clearly aligns with Commonwealth Worker Fund (CWF) goals, as referenced by PL. 115-218. Entity has a clearly defined plan to “measure the effectiveness of expenditures in the placement of US workers into jobs held by non-US workers” as a result of a participant's completion of their program.
3	The project mostly aligns with Commonwealth Worker Fund (CWF) goals, as referenced by PL. 115-218. Entity has developed a plan to “measure the effectiveness of expenditures in the placement of US workers into jobs held by non-US workers” as a result of a participant's completion of their program.
2	The project somewhat aligns with Commonwealth Worker Fund (CWF) goals, as referenced by PL. 115-218. Entity makes mention of a plan to “measure the effectiveness of expenditures in the placement of US workers into jobs held by non-US workers” as a result of a participant's completion of their program.
1	The project minimally aligns with CWF priorities. Entity did not include a plan to “measure the effectiveness of expenditures in the placement of US workers into jobs held by non-US workers” as a result of a participant's completion of their program.

**8. The project uses relevant workforce data to develop a plan that addresses CWF goals. The project clearly ties in local workforce data to its approach in ensuring the effective placement of U.S. workers to jobs held by non-U.S. workers.**

4	The project is effective in using local workforce data to develop a plan that addresses CWF goals.
3	The project is somewhat effective in using local workforce data to develop a plan that addresses CWF goals.
2	The project minimally uses local workforce data to develop a plan that addresses CWF goals.
1	The project leaves out local workforce data to develop a plan that addresses CWF goals.

**9. The project budget is reasonable.**

4	The proposed budget and narrative are comprehensive and detailed. The Administrative costs stay within the allowable range. All budget items are allowable, reasonable, and directly relevant to the project.
3	The proposed budget and narrative are detailed. The Administrative costs stay within the allowable range. Most budget items are allowable, reasonable, and directly relevant to the project.
2	A proposed budget and narrative are present. Some budget items may not be appropriate and there are some questions regarding technical soundness and applicability.
1	The proposed budget minimally presents basic information and/or lacks a narrative. Some budget items may not be appropriate and there are major questions regarding technical soundness and applicability.

**10. The project timeline is reasonable.**

4	The project timeline is reasonable, comprehensive, and well detailed.
3	The project timeline is reasonable, comprehensive, and detailed.
2	The project presents a timeline with general appropriateness.
1	The project presents a timeline that minimally presents basic information. There are questions or concerns regarding the proposed project length.

**11. Reporting Compliance**

4	Recipient has/has no previous awards. OR Recipient has a history of submitting timely performance and financial reports.
3	Recipient has been late in submitting performance and financial reports.
2	Recipient has been consistently late in submitting performance and financial reports.
1	Recipient has not submitted performance and/or financial reports.